| 1 | | |
|----|---|--|
| 2 | | |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | | |
| 6 | | |
| 7 | | |
| 8 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON | |
| 9 | AT SEATT | LE |
| 10 | JOHN ROBERT DEMOS, JR., | CASE NO. C22-1512 MJP |
| 11 | Plaintiff, | ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION |
| 12 | V. | 1220011211211211 |
| 13 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, | |
| 14 | Defendant. | |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | This matter comes before the Court on the Report and Recommendation of Magistrate | |
| 18 | Judge S. Kate Vaughan. (Dkt. No. 2.) Having reviewed the Report and Recommendation and | |
| 19 | Plaintiff's Objections (Dkt. No. 3), the Court ADOPTS the Report and Recommendation and | |
| 20 | DISMISSES this action WITHOUT PREJUDICE. | |
| 21 | In his Objections, Plaintiff avers that he has provided evidence of a surety to pay all of | |
| 22 | his past, present, and future filing fees. (Dkt. No. 3 at 2.) Plaintiff further argues that he need not | |
| 23 | submit an IFP application because of the existence of the surety. (<u>Id.</u>) | |
| 24 | | |

| 1 | The Court finds no merit in Plaintiff's Objections. As the Report and Recommendation | |
|----|---|--|
| 2 | explains, Plaintiff must pay the filing fee or submit an IFP application. Plaintiff has identified no | |
| 3 | basis that his alleged "surety" absolves him of duty to pay the filing fee or submit an IFP | |
| 4 | application. And Plaintiff fails to respond to the Report and Recommendation's conclusion that | |
| 5 | even if he had satisfied the filing fee or IFP requirements, he lacks a viable basis for relief. (See | |
| 6 | Report and Recommendation at 3.) The Court therefore OVERRULES the objections and | |
| 7 | ADOPTS the Report and Recommendation. | |
| 8 | The Court DISMISSES this action WITHOUT PREJUDICE. | |
| 9 | The clerk is ordered to provide copies of this order to Plaintiff, Magistrate Judge | |
| 10 | Vaughan, and to all counsel. | |
| 11 | Dated December 19, 2022. | |
| 12 | Marshy Melins | |
| 13 | Marsha J. Pechman United States Senior District Judge | |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |